

**Table A-5-a. Mean<sup>†</sup> yield and agronomic traits of 9 Maturity Group III (3.0 - 3.9) soybean varieties evaluated in small plot replicated trials without irrigation at the Middle Tennessee AgResearch and Education Center in Spring Hill, Tennessee during 2023.**

Variety	Herbicide Pkg <sup>‡</sup>	Avg. Yield <sup>§</sup> (bu/ac)			Moisture at Harvest (%)			Plant Height (in.)			Lodging <sup>  </sup> (1-5)			Maturity (DAP)		
		1 yr	2 yr	3 yr	1 yr	2 yr	3 yr	1 yr	2 yr	3 yr	1 yr	2 yr	3 yr	1 yr	2 yr	3 yr
Xitavo 3803E	E3	76 A			13 A			32 B-D			1.0			136 AB		
Revere 3908XFS*	XFS	72 A	56 A	53 A	13 B	14 A	14 A	34 AB	30 A	29 A	1.0	1.0	1.0	138 A	136 A	139 A
USG 7394XFS	XFS	71 AB			13 AB			36 A			1.0	1.0	1.0	137 A		
Asgrow AG38XF1	XF	67 A-C	47 B	47 A	13 C	13 B	14 A	30 CD	28 B	27 B	1.0	1.0	1.0	137 A	135 A	137 A
Dyna-Gro S38XF22S*	XF	66 A-C	47 B		13 C	13 AB		33 BC	29 AB		1.0	1.0		136 A	135 A	
Innkvictis A3992XF	XF	62 BC			13 B			29 D			1.0			138 A		
Asgrow AG39XF3	XF	61 C			13 BC			30 CD			1.0			136 A		
Perdue Agribusiness P30ILO22	Conv	45 D			13 BC			23 E			1.0			133 BC		
Perdue Agribusiness P29ILO22	Conv	39 D			13 BC			22 E			1.0			133 C		
Average		62	50	50	12.9	13.2	13.9	30	29	28	1.0	1.0	1.0	136	136	138
Standard Error		3	19	11	0.1	0.5	0.8	1	4	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	2	3
L.S.D. <sub>.05</sub>		10	7	N.S.	0.3	0.7	N.S.	3	2	1	N.E.	N.E.	N.E.	3	N.S.	N.S.
C.V.		9	11	14	1	4	4	6	5	5	-	-	-	1	2	2

<sup>†</sup> Varieties that have any MS letter in common are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability. Values highlighted in light orange are above average for a given trait, MS letters highlighted in dark orange are in the "A group", indicating no statistical difference from the top-performing variety, for a given trait.

C.V. is only reported for variables evaluated on a ratio scale.

L.S.D. values are given for ANOVA that were significant at P<0.05. Variables in which minimal variation was observed were not subjected to ANOVA and are reported as N.E.

<sup>‡</sup> For a full description of abbreviated biotech traits, see table 29.

\* Asterisks after a name indicate the number of preceding consecutive years in the top-performing "A" group.

<sup>§</sup> All yields are adjusted to 13% moisture.

<sup>||</sup> Lodging was evaluated on a scale of 1 (no lodging) to 5 (complete lodging).

<sup>T</sup> Indicate data that were log transformed to meet assumptions of normality, raw means are reported and mean separation letters are given. L.S.D values are not reported as these would be relative to transformed mean values.

**Table A-5-b. Mean<sup>†</sup> yield and agronomic traits of 9 Maturity Group III (3.0 - 3.9) soybean varieties evaluated in small plot replicated trials without irrigation at the Middle Tennessee AgResearch and Education Center in Spring Hill, Tennessee during 2023.**

Variety	Herbicide Pkg <sup>†</sup>	Avg. Yield <sup>§</sup>	SDS DI <sup>††</sup>	SDS DS <sup>††</sup>	SDS DX <sup>††</sup>	Frogeye <sup>‡‡</sup>	Leaf Holding <sup>  </sup>
		(bu/ac)	(%)	(1-9)	(DI x DS/9)	(%)	(1-5)
		1 yr	1 yr	1 yr	1 yr	1 yr	1 yr
Xitavo 3803E	E3	76 A	2	1.0	1	1.7 C	1.0
Revere 3908XFS*	XFS	72 A	0	0.0	0	2.7 BC	1.2
USG 7394XFS	XFS	71 AB	0	0.0	0	2.7 BC	1.0
Asgrow AG38XF1	XF	67 A-C	0	0.0	0	1.0 C	1.0
Dyna-Gro S38XF22S*	XF	66 A-C	0	0.0	0	6.0 A	1.0
Innictis A3992XF	XF	62 BC	0	0.0	0	4.7 AB	1.0
Asgrow AG39XF3	XF	61 C	0	0.0	0	2.3 BC	1.0
Perdue Agribusiness P30ILO22	Conv	45 D	0	0.0	0	2.3 BC	1.0
Perdue Agribusiness P29ILO22	Conv	39 D	0	0.0	0	1.7 C	2.0
Average		62	0	0.1	0	2.8	1
Standard Error		3	0	0.0	0	0.9	0
L.S.D. <sub>.05</sub>		10	N.E.	N.E.	N.E.	2.4	N.E.
C.V.		9	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>†</sup> Varieties that have any MS letter in common are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability. Values highlighted in light orange are above average for a given trait, MS letters highlighted in dark orange are in the "A group", indicating no statistical difference from the top-performing variety, for a given trait.

C.V. is only reported for variables evaluated on a ratio scale.

L.S.D. values are given for ANOVA that were significant at P<0.05. Variables in which minimal variation was observed were not subjected to ANOVA and are reported as N.E.

\* Asterisks after a name indicate the number of preceding consecutive years in the top-performing "A" group.

† For a full description of abbreviated biotech traits, see table 29.

§ All yields are adjusted to 13% moisture.

†† SDS was evaluated as disease incidence (percentage), disease severity (1 to 9, with 1 indicating no disease), and disease index (DI x DS/9). Evaluated in mid-September.

‡‡ Frogeye was evaluated using a 1 to 9 scale, with 1 indicating no disease. Evaluated in mid-September.

|| Leaf holding was evaluated visually at harvest using a 1 to 5 scale, with 1 indicating no leaves at maturity.

T Indicate data that were log transformed to meet assumptions of normality, raw means are reported and mean separation letters are given. L.S.D values are not reported as these would be relative to transformed mean values.